

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/892,593	06/27/2001	F. Mark Ferguson	SHP025.1	4461
75	90 03/17/2004		EXAMINER	
Mark S. Leonardo, Esq.			WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE	
Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels LLP One Financial Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02111			3763	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

20

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/892,593	FERGUSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Catherine S. Williams	3763
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a ring of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirth od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' tute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
, 	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11,14-90 and 93</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21,22,24,26,32-59</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 71</u> is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5,6,11,14,15,19,25,30,31,62-64,69</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>7-9,16-18,20,23,27-29,60 and 65</u> is 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	9,61,66-68,73-90 and 93 is/ar 9,70 and 72 is/are rejected. s/are objected to.	e withdrawn from consideration.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a light service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 69 and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gyure et al (US Pat# 5,665,075). Gyure discloses a needle shield assembly that includes a needle hub (28) and a shield (45). See figure 2. The needle hub has a collar (34) that receives the proximal end of the shield (43). The shield has a lock (58) that captures a portion of the needle disposed proximal to the distal end of the needle. See figure 4. The shield encloses at least a portion of the distal end of the needle. See figures 3 and 4. The shield also includes at least one hinge (see figures 1-4) that has a relief (83). The hinge flexes inwardly. See figure 1.

Claims 69-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Orgain (US Pat# 5,188,611). Orgain discloses a safety sheath for needles that includes a needle hub (23) and a shield (21). See figures 16-23. The shield includes a lock (44) that captures a portion of the needle disposed proximal to the distal end of the needle. See figures 18 and 20. The shield also encloses at least a portion of the distal end of the needle. See figures 17 and 19. The shield also includes a locking means (78) for locking the shield in the extended position. See figure 16.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,593

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-6, 11, 14, 19, 25, 30-31 and 62-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gyure et al (US Pat# 5,665,075). Gyure discloses a needle shield assembly that includes a needle hub (28) with an open ended needle and a shield (45). See figure 2. The needle hub has a monolithically formed collar (34) that receives the proximal end of the shield (43). The collar also has an interior cavity. See figure 2. The shield has a lock (58) that flexibly and irreversibly captures (locks) a portion of the needle disposed proximal to the distal end of the needle when in the extended position. See figures 4 and 10. The shield encloses at least a portion of the distal end of the needle. See figures 3 and 4. The proximal end of the shield (41) is received by the collar (34) of the needle hub in interlocking engagement. See figures 2 and 7. As shown in figure 2, the needle hub has a luer fitting (29) for attaching to a syringe. The needle hub includes guide surfaces (flange 33) to facilitate engagement of the shield and needle hub. The shield has a proximal segment and at least one rib (69) with a transverse orientation for urging the shield to the extended position. See figure 4.

Gyure meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include the proximal end of the needle shield being received within the cavity of the needle hub collar. However, Gyure does teach a proximal end of a needle shield being received by (surrounding) a collar of a needle hub. See above.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,593

Art Unit: 3763

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to rearrange the parts of the proximal end of the needle shield and the collar of Gyure so that the proximal end of the shield is received into the collar of the needle hub. The courts have found the rearrangement of parts unpatentable if the rearrangement does not modify the operation of the device (In re Japikse, 181 F.2d 1019, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950)) and an obvious matter of design choice (In re Kuhle, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ7 (CCPA 1975)). Rearranging the Gyure reference to have the proximal end of the needle shield locking into the cavity of the collar would not modify the overall operation of the device (i.e.) shielding the needle. Additionally, applicant has not disclosed that having the proximal end of the shield locking into the cavity of the collar provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the proximal end of the needle shield locking into engagement with the outside of the collar as taught by Gyure. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Gyure to obtain the invention as specified in claim 5-6, 11, 14, 19, 25, 30-31 and 62-63.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gyure in view of Orgain. Gyure meets the claim limitations as described above for claim 5 but fails to include the shield having locking means for locking the shield in the extended position. However, Orgain discloses locking means on the shield for both locking the shield to the hub and locking the shield to the needle. See above description of Orgain.

Since Gyure already discloses locking means on the shield for locking the shield to the needle, it would have been obvious to incorporate the additional locking means on the shield and

Art Unit: 3763

have a redundant locking system as taught by Orgain. The motivation for the incorporation would have been in order to enhance the safety of the device to the user by having two locks to prevent a needle stick.

Claim 64 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gyure. Gyure meets the claim limitations as described above for claim 5 but fails to include a double wall needle.

At the time of the invention, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a double wall needle because applicant has not disclosed that using a double wall needle provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with a regular hypodermic needle. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Gyure to obtain the invention as specified in claim 64.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4 and 71 are allowed.

Claims 7-9, 16-18, 20, 23, 27-29, 60 and 65 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,593

Art Unit: 3763

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 703-308-4846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine S. Williams On. March 6, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 6